ORDER SHEET West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag

&

The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No. <u>OA-402 of 2017</u>

N	Iaya Ghosh Versus The State of We	est Bengal & Ors.
Serial No. and date of order 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary. 3
<u>11</u> 21/08/2019	For the Applicant: Mr. G.N. Bhattacharya, Ld. Advocate.For the State Respondent : Mr. S.N. Ray,	
	Ld. Advocate.	
	For the Rspndt. No. 6 : Mr. S.S. Basu, Ld. Advocate.	
	The applicant has prayed for direction upon the	
	respondents for grant of family pension and release of	
	death benefits of her deceased husband Nityananda	
	Ghosh.	
	One Nityananda Ghosh died on December 04, 2014	
	while he was working as Water Transmission Assistant	
	in the establishment of the Superintending Engineer	
	(Agri Irrigation), Agri Irrigation Circle. The contention of	
	the applicant is that she was married to Nityananda	
	Ghosh on November 30, 2011 as per Hindu rites and	
	religion. The further contention of the applicant is that	
	she lived with Nityananda Ghosh as husband and wife	
	till his death.	
	The private respondent no. 6 also claims to be the	
	wife of the deceased Nityananda Ghosh. A child was	
	born from the wedlock between Nityananda Ghosh and	
	the private respondent Sumitra Ghosh on April 29,	
	1987. The said private respondent got the order of	
	maintenance from her husband Nityananda Ghosh w.e.f.	

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form No.

Maya Ghosh

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-4 Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with dat
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of
1	2	parties when necessary 3
	May 05, 2008 by order of Learned Judicial Magistrate,	
	3 rd Court, Krishnanangar.	
	The issue for consideration of the Tribunal is	
	whether the applicant is entitled to get family pension of	
	Nityananda Ghosh as legally married wife.	
	Having heard Learned Counsel representing the	
	respective parties and on consideration of the materials	
	on record, we find that the private respondent Sumitra	
	Ghosh filed an application u/s 125 of the Code of	
	Criminal Procedure against the deceased employee	
	Nityananda Ghosh on May 05, 2008 before the Court of	
	Learned Judicial Magistrate, 3 rd Court, Krishnanagar.	
	On March 19, 2012 Learned Judicial Magistrate	
	disposed of the proceeding u/s 125 of the Court of	
	Criminal Proceeding (MR No. 283(IV)/08/TR378 of 2008)	
	by directing Nityananda Ghosh to grant maintenance to	
	the private respondent Sumitra Ghosh @ ₹ 2500/- per	
	month with effect from the date of filing the said	
	application i.e. May 05, 2008. It appears from the said	
	judgment passed by Learned Judicial Magistrate that	
	the deceased employee Nityananda Ghosh admitted the	
	fact of marriage between the deceased employee	
	Nityananda Ghosh and the private respondent Sumitra	
	Ghosh. Admittedly, one daughter by name Sarmistha	
	Ghosh was born from the wedlock between Nityananda	
	Ghosh and Sumitra Ghosh and the date of birth of the	

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form No.

Page No. 3

Maya Ghosh

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-402 of 2017		
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
1	2	3
	said daughter is April 29, 1987. We can very well infer	
	from the above circumstances that the marriage between	
	Nityananda Ghosh and the private respondent Sumitra	
	Ghosh must have taken place atleast in the year 1986 or	
	before that.	
	The specific contention of the applicant Maya	
	Ghosh is that her marriage with Nityananda Ghosh was	
	solemnized on November 30, 2011 as per Hindu rites	
	and religion. There is nothing on record to indicate that	
	the marital tie between Nityananda Ghosh and the	
	private respondent Sumitra Ghosh was snapped at any	
	material point of time when the marriage of the	
	applicant Maya Ghosh was solemnized with Nityananda	
	Ghosh on November 30, 2011. Since the marriage of the	
	applicant with Nityananda Ghosh was solemnized	
	during existence of marital tie between Nityananda	
	Ghosh and the private respondent Sumitra Ghosh and	
	since the parties are governed by provisions of Hindu	
	Law, we are of the view that the marriage between Maya	
	Ghosh and the deceased employee Nityananda Ghosh is	
	void in terms of provisions of Section 5(i) read with	
	Section 11 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1985.	
	In view of our observation that the marriage	
	between the applicant Maya Ghosh and the deceased	
	employee Nityananda Ghosh is void, the applicant Maya	

Ghosh is not entitled to get either family pension or

ORDER SHEET – (Continuation)

Form No.

Maya Ghosh

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-40	Case No. OA-402 of 2017		
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.	
1	2	3	
	death benefits of the deceased employee Nityananda		
	Ghosh as legally married wife. As a result, the original		
	application is dismissed.		
	Let an urgent Xerox Certified Copy of the order		
	if applied for be supplied to either of the parties on		
	priority basis, subject to fulfillment of all necessary		
	formalities.		
	S. K. DAS R. K. BAG MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		
Csm			